«Outsource me!» by Leonardo Solaas presents a competition within the competition of Readme 100. This ironic subversion is repeated on various levels of the project: it subverts the usual outsourcing relationships, as well as subverting the idea of the delegation of «technical» work by the «creative» artist to an «uncreative» programmer (or any «hands-on» person). One proof of the project's success is in the discussion that happened in the comment threads on Solaas's call for submissions to be his employer. Irony does not transcend all borders: one person took the call literally and was dismayed by the apparent reiteration of the same old pattern of the «uncreative programmer» and «handless artist.»

«Outsource me!» is a two-phase project: a recruiting phase and a production phase. When he posted the call for ideas, Solaas, who is Argentinean, considered limiting the circle of potential «employers» to people from so-called developed countries. This twist could have made the project funnier but could have seriously limited its scope, so Solaas and the Readme 100 organizers (who acted as Solaas's «meta-employer») decided to leave this option out. The mere fact that potential employers had to look through Solaas's lists of skills, interests and past works when deciding whether an idea is suitable for him seemed enough of an ironic twist.
Among the submitted projects — which were of a generally high level — were a few excellent ones. A favorite of this text’s authors was «Appagotchi» by Eric Londaits, another of whose submissions was eventually selected to be realized. «Appagotchi» suggests creating a simple software application that must be nurtured (opened, closed, saved, etc.) similar to a Tamagotchi pet; only if it is sufficiently cared for will it grow into a full-featured, overly-complicated application. «Appagotchi» turned out to be too complex a project to be realized in the short time period available, so Solaas and the Readme 100 organizers decided against it. Having to negotiate with both an employer and a meta-employer must not have been an easy task for Solaas, especially given the weight of all the project’s accumulated irony. One wonders if he is personally satisfied with the results of his project’s subverted logic, or if he eventually tired of dealing with the multiple twists and levels of supervision he had devised. Then again, real jobs quite often involve the stress of coping with multiple supervisors who have conflicting sets of demands and priorities, and who, despite existing within organizations that often have meticulously organized institutional structures, somehow manage to function as if there were no coordination at all. Maybe Solaas’s project isn’t so ironic after all — or maybe it simply reminds us of one of the painful ironies of working life.

«Go Logo» by Eric Londaits is the winning idea of Solaas’s contest. It was implemented in an incredibly short time period: a little more than two weeks. «Go Logo» makes its audience even more aware of logos’ omnipresence and aesthetics: one of the logos generated by «Go Logo» when it was presented in Dortmund turned out to be an almost exact copy of the logo of the hotel at which the Readme participants and organizers were staying.

Amy Alexander, Olga Goriunova
The story

When I first saw the call for projects for Readme 100 Software Art Festival, I didn’t think it was for me. As a software artist, I was exploring several different ideas at the moment, but I could not see a match with any of them. So I just closed the browser page and went away—it was one more of the countless websites we leave with no further consequences on our lives.

Only, this one somehow stood with me. It hung around in the intranet of my brain and kept coming back to my attention window. It was something about the proposed subject: outsourcing. It was touching me. After all, I am an outsourced worker. I’m a programmer and site developer working for American employers.

At first I entertained this little idea, not taking it too seriously, but finding it nevertheless engaging: to put the outsourcing relationship upside down. I started to think about momentarily changing the balance of power among employers and contractors, which is also a small-scale model of the tension between developed and emerging countries. It was a chance to rewrite the rules of the game and to play a little joke on a very serious subject. It was also about my life.

This was probably the biggest hurdle I had to overcome in the process of turning this funny idea into a real project. Until then, my works had been rather abstract and detached. Now, this was also a very conceptual project, but it was also about me, about my work and my everyday life, about many of my frustrations and ambitions. It required me to step into the stage and expose myself in a way till then unknown to me. I could not keep a scientific
distance with this, my stance had to be closer to that of an actor, and I was not sure I wanted that.

But the idea would not let me go. It grew and gained neater edges, words started coming for the would-be Agreement that was to regulate this altered outsourcing relationship, and soon I realized that I had no chance but writing down the project and submitting it. This is not the way I usually develop my works. In general, I push my ideas forward. But now I was pulled by one.

Still after submitting the project, I felt I didn’t had to worry very much. I didn’t really think the selection committee would be choosing it. It was just too crazy, too unpredictable and open-ended. I was proposing an adventure, not only for myself, but for the Festival as well.

I was wrong again there. They not only chose the project, but supported it enthusiastically. Olga Goriunova and Alexei Shulgin were involved in every stage of the development, since the configuration of the site I made for receiving the submissions, to the execution of the piece once we had chosen a winning proposal. They assisted me with their opinion and experience all along this complicated but highly instructive process.

The idea

I remember having an enlightening experience with one of my first foreign employers, an American. When we first made contact, in my ingenuity I asked for an hourly rate that was somewhere in between the Argentinean and the American standard fees. This was looking just fair to me. If there was a gap in the price of labor, it seemed right to share the benefit and enjoy a situation where everyone wins.

Soon I had to notice I was wrong. My skills were very interesting, but, why was I charging so much for my time? It was surprising. My would-be boss was aware of the usual fees in Argentina and
was expecting me to stick to them. I’m Argentinean after all. I live in Buenos Aires. That’s undeniable. And he was outsourcing. He was counting on the benefit of that.

I pondered over the situation. It was not a bad deal after all. The rate he was proposing was maybe a little over what I was charging then to my local clients, and more important, he was promising a continuous stream of work. I had to choose between my personal idea of fairness and some more money on my pocket. Well, as you can probably imagine, I said yes. Reality wins. As things turned out, this American is at the moment my main employer and we developed an excellent working relationship. But I had to learn my place in the world.

Now I regard this little episode as the origin of Outsource Me! It was my personal lesson on the nature of outsourcing, and it made me recognize the various good and bad sides of this strange new form of association that the global communication technologies have made possible.

Still, I am lucky. I never had to compete with fellow programmers in the open Internet market. I felt rather impressed when I saw the sites were coders and buyers get together. Each request is a kind of reverse auction where the buyer puts all the conditions and the programmers have to show they are the best while simultaneously placing the lowest possible bid. And they not only have to be cheap and good, but timely and flawless, because, if they are chosen, their employer will later rate their work, and the rating is the most important capital they have at the site. A good rating will increase their chances to be commissioned, maybe even to charge a bit more next time, so they are under high pressure to keep it perfect.

No one is forced to take part in this kind of market. Everyone is there willingly. But I can’t help feeling there’s something terribly sad to it. The rates are often low even for a developing country such as mine. For someone trying to make a live as a freelance coder,
I imagine it must be difficult to relax. It’s like giving exam every day: there’s so much competence that only the fittest survive. Is it not a waste of much intelligence and energy, a life devoted to keeping a perfect 10 at rent-a-coder? So, Readme 100 was my chance to play on this. Outsource Me! is a subversion of the outsourcing relationship. The balance and geometry of this rapport is altered in many ways at the same time:

— To start with, I was going to be commissioned for developing a software-art piece. I was mixing up two areas of my life that used to remain distinct: the work that earns me money, and the art that gives me pleasure. It was about this dream we all have and only a few make real: being hired to do what you love.

— Then, I was not going to compete with anyone for this job. Quite on the contrary, the employers were going to compete among them to have me developing their idea. I was going to have the power of choosing the one I liked the most among all the proposals.

— I was not going to be paid by the employer, but by a third actor, the MetaEmployer (the festival organization), so the former one would be deprived of the usual power of those who pay.

— I was also outsourcing a task myself: thinking an idea for the software art piece I was going to present at Readme 100. So, a certain symmetry was introduced into this relationship: my employer was also working for me.

— A relationship that is usually binary was becoming a triangle. The introduction of the MetaEmployer altered the schema in many ways, some even unexpected for me. It acted both as a boss and as a helper, as a neutral third part that was nevertheless deeply engaged with the project, and as a source of skill and support. The role of the MetaEmployer was perhaps, talking now from experience, the single most important invention of Outsource Me! I’m sure many outsourced workers would love to have one if they could only imagine how it is to have one.
A relationship that is usually private was becoming public. The whole process was open and all those taking part were going to be on stage for the show.

The ideas for art pieces were also going to be public, as all submissions are viewable by anyone at the website. This requisite probably deterred many people who subscribe the usual position that ideas must be kept secret and not be shared, and were thus not ready to free their brainchildren on the net.

The project for Readme 100 was actually two projects. During the festival we presented a work and a meta-work, such as I had an Employer and a MetaEmployer. Go-Logo is inside Outsource Me! as a piece of art inside another piece of art.

The development
The project development had many stages:

- Writing the texts that were going to introduce and sustain the proposal: the Facts, the Agreement, my Skills and Interests.
- Setting up a website to put this texts on line and gather the submissions.
- Writing an open call and broadcasting it through the usual communication channels in the digital arts community (websites and mail lists).
- Answering the comments, questions and sometimes surprising interpretations of the proposal by would-be employers that required clarifications before submitting their ideas.
- After the deadline, choosing the winning idea, which had to be both interesting and feasible within the limited time frame we had before the presentation in Dortmund.
- Developing the piece.
- Putting it on line and preparing the presentation for the Festival.

The Outsource Me! website was quickly designed and configured using Drupal, an open-source Content Management System based
in PHP and MySQL that I’m using a lot for my ‘serious’ work.
The call for submissions remained open for three weeks. During that
time, we had 24 proposals submitted by people from various points
of the world, from Croatia to USA and from Brazil to Norway.
For me, it was surprisingly difficult to choose a winning idea.
I think I respect a bit more the work of jurors in competitions
now that I’ve been through something similar. Many of the
proposals were attractive. Some were just too complicated to
develop within the tight schedule we had ahead of us. Some
were suggestive but not clear enough, or something very similar
had already been done.
It was our preliminary idea to choose an Employer from a developed
country. This was fitting the concept of the project, so Olga,
Alexei and me had agreed on that. I remember sending to them
a shortlist of the submissions I thought were interesting or feasi-
ble, and commenting at the end: «Then, there are this ideas from
Eric Londaits, which are really very good. But I’m leaving them
aside, since he’s not only Argentinean, but a friend of mine». But
they also thought his ideas were good. They told me, «Never
mind he’s your friend, let’s go for them!»
This was really an unexpected turn of the events, but then, what the
hell, why not. Since we were creating our own rules, we were also
free to change them. So I ended up having an Employer who is
also a friend, and that’s always nice. It was also a further tweaking
of the outsourcing schema, because Eric lives in my city, so we
cannot really call it outsourcing anymore, and is also my friend,
what is not the usual state of things for an outsourced worker.
So we went for ‘Go-Logo’. It had an interesting concept, a probably
engaging visual dimension and it was possible to develop the
idea, if not fully, to a reasonable extent before the presentation.
It came also as a natural follow-up to several investigations I had
been carrying on generative systems.
The execution of the idea was accompanied by very much back-and-forth among the three sides of our novel association: the author of the idea, the responsible for its development (who, as an artist himself, had his own ideas about what was right or wrong), and the MetaEmployers, who engaged actively with their own points of view. The discussions were very interesting in itself and touched on several fundamental points, such as the nature of a software art piece, its relation to functionality and user expectations, etc.

I used Flash and ActionScript because it has become for me an optimal platform for fast development and solid results that are viewable on almost every browser in the world. Also, the vectorial nature of Flash graphics was a natural fit for logos. I developed several algorithms to produce graphic results that had to be both simple, interesting and varied. This is a difficult equilibrium which is not always possible to attain, but there's still ample room to improve and make the graphics better and more logo-like.

Algorithms are remarkable for their absolute lack of aesthetic criteria. So the challenge is coding some that catch the soul of ‘logoness’ and output results that we, humans, can regard as nice and well-formed.

Another condition we agreed on with Eric is that no randomness was going to be involved at any point during the process. I personally think that too much random functions are around in contemporary net art works. It's like a recipe for brewing instant pseudo-data where you actually have none. Moreover, this limitation allowed us to have a one-to-one association between words and logos. Even since the first version I put on line, a funny interchange arose: «Try this word!», «Take a look at that one!».

Following a suggestion from Eric, I used a well-known cryptographic hash function, md5, to generate a pseudo-random 32-cipher hexadecimal number from any character string entered into the
textbox. This regular input is an excellent source for the algorithms to take the various parameters needed for each drawing. So, any word in any language, even in those which doesn’t exist, will produce a logo. There is no semantic connection between both. Inside Go-Logo, words are treated as raw binary data. The meaning is all on our side.

Open Call for Proposals
Get your Software Art Piece Done for Free

OUTSOURCE ME!
http://outsource.solaas.com.ar

Have you ever dreamt of having a piece of software art (1) you could call truly yours? Or had the feeling that most media art is dull, and that you could do it better? Or had a marvelous idea you could not realize for lack of time, commitment or expertise? Well, your chance has come.

No need to mess around with abstruse programs or bother with dreary code. The world is full of people willing to do the hard work for you. That’s what outsourcing is about. Those are the rules of the global electronic market.

It doesn’t cost much. Actually, just for this time it will cost you nothing.

Leonardo Solaas, an Argentinean programmer and net artist, suggests reversing the rules: only once it is not the programmer who is chosen by the employer but it is the employer who is chosen by the programmer. He is looking for someone to tell him what to do, thus himself outsourcing the task of getting an idea. Submit yours! Become his boss! Submit your ideas at http://outsource.solaas.com.ar till October 3rd, and you could be the lucky winner of a possibility to implement YOUR piece of software art. If Leonardo chooses your concept, he will become your outsourced Contractor for this work, and you will be his Employer.

You could learn about Leonardo’s skills and interests (at http://solaas.com.ar/outsource/leonardo) to figure out whether your proposal would fit his experience.

All this is made possible by Readme 100 Temporary Software Art Factory (2) (a.k.a. the MetaEmployer). The resulting piece will be presented at a festival taking place on November 4-5, 2005, in the State and City Library of Dortmund, Germany.
The Facts

- Outsourcing is a growing reality, made possible by the world-wide development of communications, with deep political and economic implications that concern the relationship between developed and emerging countries.
- There is a gap between common wages in different regions of the world that sustains the whole phenomenon of outsourcing. People working in an emerging country for a distant customer can earn a revenue that is usually better than local rates for an equally qualified job. On the other hand, buyers in central countries pay less for the job. From this point of view, everyone wins.
- If, however, we consider the fact that the differential between first-world and third-world rates remains mostly in the buyer’s pocket, we can see outsourcing as a new form of exploitation. Moreover, a particularly insidious form of it, since all participants take part willingly in an open, global market. So, it might be seen as abuse with the face of freedom.
- It is true that, in a macro level, outsourcing represents a net flow of money from developed into developing countries. But it also represents a flow of talent from the latter into the former. And it is intelligence, the organization of complexity, that ultimately creates wealth. Peripheral countries export the main resource that might help them emerge from underdevelopment.
- Stiff competition between knowledge workers in developing countries guarantees sustained low fares, and the perpetuation of a state of things where contractors will most likely never become employers or use their knowledge on their own behalf.

In regard to this, I (the worker) make the following Proposal

- To reverse the usual situation, and to make a call for an Employer for my outsourced job. As employer of my employer, I will have the possibility to decide what I do.
- To outsource myself the task of defining the idea and characteristics of the piece of software art I will develop for Readme 100.
The Agreement

This is an agreement between Leonardo Solaas («The Contractor»),
the author of the selected idea («The Employer»), and Readme 100
Temporary Software Art Factory («The MetaEmployer»). It governs
the development and execution of a piece of software-art («The
Work»), under the following terms:

• The development of the process leading to the realization of the
  Work will be carried in two stages:
  An Open Call for ideas, plans or proposals for the Work
  The development of the Work itself, undertaken by the Contractor
  according to one of the ideas entered during stage (A).

• During stage (A) any person will be allowed to submit any number
  of ideas at the site outsource.solaas.com.ar, without any limi-
  tations whatsoever, besides being likely ideas for a piece of
  software art.
• On submitting an idea, participants in the open call will take
  into consideration:
  The Contractor’s past works: http://solaas.com.ar/
  The Contractor’s skills: http://solaas.com.ar/outsource/skills
  The Contractor’s interests: http://solaas.com.ar/outsource/interests

• At the end of stage (A) the Contractor will choose an idea among
  those submitted.
• In selecting the winning idea, the Contractor will evaluate:
  That it is feasible with skills he possesses or can rapidly acquire
  That it is in accordance with his interests and past works
  That it can be executed within the allocated time frame
• The author of the selected idea will instantly and automatically
  become the Contractor’s Employer for the term of development of
  the Work.
• The Employer will be notified of his new status on the e-mail
  address entered upon registration. The Employer’s approval or
  confirmation of notification shall not be required for the
  development of the Work, since the act of submitting an idea
  implies permission to execute it.
• The Contractor would prefer an Employer from a developed coun-
  try, but he will also be open to consider proposals from emerg-
  ing (or submerging) nations.
• As author of the winning idea, the Employer will be awarded the
  full sum allocated for the project by the MetaEmployer, with the
  specific and unalterable purpose of paying the Contractor.
• For the sake of simplicity, the MetaEmployer will transfer the
  aforesaid sum directly to the Contractor
• The Employer will be granted the rights to the Work, but agrees
to release it and its source code under the Creative Commons GPL
license.

- The Employer will be credited as author of the idea every time
  the Work is presented or exhibited in any form or context.
- The completed Work will be introduced to the Employer, the
  MetaEmployer and the world at large during the Readme 100 festi-
  val, taking place on November 4-5, 2005, in the State and City
  Library of Dortmund, Germany.
- The submission of an idea implies the unconditional acceptance
  of the terms stated in this agreement

The selected submission I sent to Leonardo for the «Outsource Me!» project reads:

In the future, the only way to be heard will be having a flashy logo, a catchy tune, and your own pop star endorsement. And I don’t just mean for corporations... family dinner conversations will actually be like this as well.

Go-Logo will be the basic survival kit for that future.

Just enter a word (or two or three words, but not much more) and Go-Logo will instantly create a random unique logotype that is sure to capture your audiences.

This prediction is certainly tongue-in-cheek, but comes close to what I think the future will be like.

Homes today use most of the same software as business and professionals do. Office software like word processors and spreadsheets are the same for home or professional use, and the unlicensed use of software is common enough for kids to be learning desktop publishing, graphic design and 3D modelling with very expensive software suites in their own computers. Also, scanners and colour printers of photographic quality are installed at many